IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.509 OF 2005

ALONG WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NOS.510/05, 511/05 & 512/05

Meghashyam Dattatraya Gothivrekar ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

ALONG WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NOS.513/05, 514/05,

515/05 & 516/05

Elapully Vaidyanathan Hariharan ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Vishwanath Mannadiar i/b M/s.Mannadiar & Co. for Petitioner.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1 in Cri.WPs.510/05 to 512/05.

Ms.G.P.Mulekar, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1 in Cri.W.Ps.513/05 to 515/05.

Ms.S.V.Gajare, A.P.P. for Respdt.No.1 in WP 516/05.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DECEMBER 9, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the Petitioners by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778**, no interference is warranted.

3. These Petitions are disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. Petitioners to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against

the Petitioners. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is any delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the Petitioners had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Petitions disposed of on the above terms.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.